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ABSTRACT

High-resolution airborne measurements of vertical incidence radar reflectivity and Doppler velocity, as well as coincident upwelling 85-GHz radiances, are analyzed for several Atlantic Ocean hurricanes and for numerous convection-generated systems in Florida and Amazonia. Characteristic reflectivity, hydrometeor motion, and vertical air motion profiles of convective and stratiform precipitation are compared and related to their ice-scattering signature, with an emphasis on the difference between hurricanes and convection-generated storms. Hurricanes are found to be largely and clearly stratiform, displaying a remarkably narrow echo and vertical velocity spectrum. Air currents are inferred to be rising steadily at all levels, even in stratiform regions. Land-based, convection-generated stratiform regions tend to experience low-level descent and mid- to upper-level ascent, although the vertical velocity variability is large. Florida storms produce little stratiform precipitation. Their spectrum of echo and updraft strengths is broad, including some of the highest reflectivities aloft, resulting in very low 85-GHz radiances. Amazonian storms are relatively weak and are more “maritime” in echo, vertical velocity, and ice-scattering characteristics, when compared with those in Florida, especially during a westerly low-level wind regime.

1. Introduction

In the first part of this study (Geerts and Dawei 2004, hereinafter Part I), high-resolution vertical incidence airborne radar reflectivity data were used to classify precipitation types and assess the classification. Three rain types were distinguished—stratiform, convective, and shallow—based on the melting-layer signature that unequivocally identifies stratiform precipitation. We now use this classification to document the vertical structure of precipitation in several hurricanes. We also describe convective systems sampled in central Florida and in the southwestern corner of the Amazon basin. The composite vertical structure of these land-based systems is contrasted against that in the hurricanes.

The data on which this study of tropical precipitation systems is based (some 21 231 km of high-altitude aircraft data from three different field campaigns) do not constitute a climatology, but the dataset allows, with some degree of generality, a characterization of rain types at a resolution and sensitivity considerably better than that of wind profilers or that of the precipitation radar (PR) aboard the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) satellite. As such, this survey complements work based on data from TRMM, which since December 1997 has been building a global low-latitude climatology of vertical incidence radar reflectivity and coincident passive microwave data (Kummerow et al. 2000).

The high-altitude aircraft data mainly consist of nadir beam reflectivity and radial velocity from the Earth Resources (ER) Doppler radar (EDOP), as described in Part I. We also use data from a microwave radiometer aboard the same aircraft. The 85-GHz brightness temperature ($T_b$) has been used extensively to describe tropical precipitation systems (e.g., Mohr et al. 1999; Cecil et al. 2002). This is because it is a measure of the intensity of deep precipitation systems, which relates to the fact that ice crystals scatter the radiation upwelling from underlying droplets and from the earth’s surface (Mohr and Zipser 1996).

From a climatological perspective, the drawback of the radar/passive microwave dataset is that it only covers a small number of storms and a small window of the diurnal cycle (between 1200 and 1800 LST), and that the flights preferentially tracked over the larger, longer-lived precipitation systems. Over land there was some bias toward the more intense systems, although the most intense echoes were avoided and many smaller, shallower storms were sampled fortuitously. The dataset used herein, therefore, cannot be used to describe the
diurnal cycle of tropical precipitation (e.g., Nesbitt and Zipser 2003) or to describe the relative frequency of systems by size or intensity (e.g., Rickenbach and Rutledge 1998).

Mature hurricanes are characterized by an inner-core eyewall, in which convective precipitation dominates, and a broader, mostly stratiform region outside the sloping eyewall (Jorgensen 1984; Marks 1985; Marks and Houze 1987; Houze et al. 1992; Bister and Emanuel 1997). That stratiform region is far from uniform, it tends to contain arc-shaped rainbands (Marks 1985), which may contain convective cores. Further out from the eye, at radii over about 100 km, spiral bands may occur, including a band connecting the inner eyewall to the outer principal band (Willoughby et al. 1984). These bands tend to be more stratiform toward the core and more convective outward.

Precipitation characteristics of nonhurricane tropical precipitation systems have been studied extensively, both over land and ocean, thanks to a series of focused field campaigns (e.g., Cheng and Houze 1979; Churchill and Houze 1984; Leary 1984; Chong and Hauser 1989; Goldenberg et al. 1990; Steiner et al. 1995; Short et al. 1997; Rickenbach and Rutledge 1998; Yuter and Houze 1998; Rickenbach et al. 2002). Different levels of organization have been documented, and most systems, except isolated convective cells, develop some stratiform precipitation, either adjacent to active cells or as the storm decays. Young, vigorous convective regions usually evolve into regions of decaying convection, in which the vertical air motions are smaller and reflectivity profiles develop a bright band (BB; Houze 1997). A global view of the Tropics suggests that stratiform regions are more common over the oceans, while over land relatively larger convective rain amounts and higher convective rain rates occur (Schumacher and Houze 2003a).

The data source is described next. Regional differences in the composite vertical structure of precipitation systems are discussed in section 3, and these are interpreted in the context of other, mostly TRMM-based observations in section 4.

2. Data sources

This study is based on all data from three field campaigns in which the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) ER-2 targeted tropical precipitation systems. The flight tracks selected are those that are level and that had two instruments in operation: EDOP (Heymsfield et al. 1996) and the Advanced Microwave Precipitation Radiometer (AMPR). One campaign focused on tropical depressions and cyclones in the Atlantic Ocean and the Gulf of Mexico (14 437 km of flight tracks, referred to as the “hurricane” sample), one sampled summertime thunderstorms over central Florida (2183 km of flight tracks, referred to as “Florida”), and one examined precipitation systems over Rondônia in the southwestern corner of Brazil, in the austral summer (4611 km of flight tracks, referred to as “Brazil”).

The key dataset is the EDOP nadir beam reflectivity and radial velocity profiles. The processing of the radial velocities is described in Part I, including the correction for aircraft motion and for a bias due to the antenna’s orientation slightly off nadir. Part I also describes how hydrometeor fall speed and vertical air motion are estimated, and how the reflectivity profiles are used to classify precipitation into warm (shallow) rain and deep convective or stratiform precipitation.

In addition to EDOP, the ER-2 carried the four-channel AMPR (Spencer et al. 1994). The four frequencies (10.7, 19.4, 37.1, and 85.5 GHz) have a sea level field of view (FOV) of 2.8, 2.8, 1.5, and 0.6 km, respectively, for a flight level of 20 km. Mainly, the 85-GHz channel is used in this study. The reasons are that this channel is less affected by earth surface emissivity variations than other channels, and that it best matches the EDOP footprint. The 85.5-GHz radiometer FOV is slightly smaller than the EDOP FOV, which is 0.8 km at 5 km; however, the sensitivity to features within the FOV differs: the two-way (radar) beam illumination function drops off faster from the beam center than the one-way (passive microwave) function. The result is that the FOV of the EDOP profiles corresponds best with the FOV of the 85.5-GHz channel. Also, and most importantly, the 85.5-GHz $T_b$ has been used extensively as a measure of the intensity of tropical precipitation systems.

AMPR is a cross-track scanning instrument, but only the nadir data are used. The AMPR sampling frequency at nadir is 2–3 s, which implies a displacement of 400–600 m along the flight track. In this study, EDOP and AMPR data are merged. In the merged data files a single nadir AMPR observation is repeated approximately 5 times for each EDOP profile. Even though a time difference of up to 2 s may exist between merged AMPR and EDOP data, the FOV for each matched pair compares reasonably well because of EDOP’s oversampling; EDOP profiles are collected every 0.5 s or 100 m, a distance that is smaller than the beam diameter (800 m at a height of 5 km). The surface footprints of two nadir EDOP beams separated by 3 s still have a nearly 50% overlap. Also, the ER-2 is a stable platform, and high-frequency (<3 s) variations of roll or pitch are generally less than 0.2°. In other words, the part of the variability in any relationship between an EDOP-derived variable and an AMPR-derived variable that is due to natural finescale variations sampled by one instrument and not by the other should be small.

3. Characteristic radar profiles and passive microwave signatures in tropical precipitation

Tropical precipitation, classified according to rain type (Part I), is now characterized in terms of composite profiles of reflectivity and vertical velocity, and in terms
of the relationship between the 85-GHz $T_b$ and storm intensity parameters. The purpose is not only to contrast stratiform versus convective and shallow rain profiles, but also to highlight the differences between ordinary convective storms and hurricanes. The stratiform samples analyzed here only include the stratiform-certain profiles (see Table 2 in Part I), in order to focus on the contrast with convective profiles.

EDOP profiles will be summarized by means of frequency-by-altitude diagrams (FAD) of nadir-beam reflectivity, radial velocity, and derived vertical air motion. These FADs are probability density functions, that is, they show the “normalized” probability of encountering a given value bin at a given height. It is normalized in the sense that the integral of all probabilities, over all values and all levels, equals 100. This is different from the contoured FADs (CFADs) in Yuter and Houze (1995), which normalize the distributions by the number of occurrences at each level. The drawback of such a display is that it only shows the relative frequency of a value at any given height; it does not reveal the variation of occurrences with height.

For deep precipitating systems, the maximum reflectivity, the echo-top height, and the reflectivity at some level above the freezing level are all indicators of scattering of the upwelling 85-GHz radiance by ice particles, which suppresses the 85-GHz $T_b$. Toracinta et al. (2002) and Cecil and Zipser (2002) use the echo-top and 7-km reflectivity to describe precipitation system characteristics in the global Tropics, by means of TRMM PR and TRMM Microwave Imager (TMI) data. Toracinta et al. (2002, their Fig. 4) show that the 85-GHz $T_b$ tends to be lower for higher 7-km reflectivity over tropical oceans and in tropical South America. Cecil and Zipser (2002, their Fig. 7) demonstrate a negative correlation between the 85-GHz $T_b$ and the maximum height of the 30-deg contour (a measure of echo-top height) both in continental and oceanic tropical systems. We will explore these relationships, but at a higher resolution and stratified by rain type.

Characterizations of convective and stratiform precipitation are now presented for the EDOP–AMPR dataset. Dynamically, a mature hurricane is a balanced vortex close to neutral symmetric stability, sustained by surface fluxes. The storms sampled in Brazil and Florida are short-lived, buoyancy-driven systems, with limited mesoscale organization.

a. Tropical cyclones and depressions

Several hurricanes (Bonnie, Earl, Georges) and one tropical depression were sampled in the third field campaign of the Convection and Moisture Experiment (CAMEX-3). The ER-2 flew straight tracks across the center of the circulation at various azimuths to a maximum radius of 100–150 km, depending on the size of the storm (e.g., Geerts et al. 2000).

A transect across Hurricane Bonnie is shown in Fig. 1, as an example. A BB is clearly visible, above which the reflectivity decreases sharply. Another melting-layer signature is the large radial velocity gradient coincident with the BB. In some regions the cloud top is close to the BB. The right (northern) eyewall at 160 < x < 220 km is much weaker than the left (southern) one, which contains some convective regions. The upper-level updraft cores in the left eyewall (0–30 km in Fig. 1) suggest some regions of buoyant ascent in the ice region. Shallow or “warm” rain occurs on the northern side of the eyewall, below an anvil. The AMPR 10-GHz emissivity over oceans is low, and more elevated 10-GHz $T_b$ values indicate emission from cloud and/or raindrops (Kummerow et al. 1991). Indeed the three 10-GHz $T_b$ spikes between 70 and 120 km in Fig. 1 correspond to reflectivity maxima below the freezing level. The 85-GHz $T_b$ is reduced by ice scattering, for instance, between 0 and 30 km in Fig. 1. The magnitude of this deficit corresponds well to vertically integrated reflectivity above the BB (Cecil and Zipser 2002). In this transect the 85-GHz $T_b$ reaches a low of 200 K, which is high in comparison with most continental mesoscale convective systems (MCSs; Mohr and Zipser 1996).

The prevalence of stratiform precipitation in this transect applies generally: some two-thirds of the EDOP profiles in the hurricane sample are stratiform, while only 19% is convective (Table 2 in Part I). It is noteworthy that when EDOP profiles are downgraded to a resolution of 125 m, the hurricane sample loses less than 1% of the BB profiles, as compared with about 5% for the ordinary convective systems in Florida and Brazil (Fig. 4 in Part I). It was found also that the two melting-layer signatures that define stratiform precipitation, that is, the presence of a BB and a large radial velocity gradient, agree best for the hurricane sample (Table 3 in Part I), and that only for Hurricane stratiform profiles does reflectivity continue to clearly decrease with height above some 300 m above the BB (Fig. 6 in Part I). These are all indications of the unambiguously stratiform nature of the hurricane stratiform profiles.

The stratiform precipitation is quite uniform in the Hurricane sample; its FADs of the reflectivity, the radial velocity, and vertical air motion are narrowly distributed (Fig. 2). This is consistent with the long-lived nature of hurricanes. Reflectivity decays rapidly (4–5 dB km$^{-1}$) above a well-defined BB. Individual stratiform profiles too feature this nearly constant decay rate up to the echo top. As a result, profiles with a brighter BB have a proportionally higher 7-km reflectivity and a higher echo top.

The mean reflectivity of the stratiform profiles increases slightly with depth below the freezing level (Fig. 3), suggesting that raindrops continue to grow as they fall, either by coalescence or condensation. The true BB is thinner than suggested in the FAD, because of variations of the freezing level across a tropical cyclone. Also, the height shown in Fig. 2 and others is relative to the echo maximum signifying the earth’s surface,
Fig. 1. A cross section of the eyewall and eye of Hurricane Bonnie at 1857–1920 UTC 23 Aug 1998. The eye is centered at a distance of 140 km on the x axis. The vertical axis for the first three images is height above sea level (km), as detected by EDOP. From top to bottom, the images display: (a) EDOP nadir reflectivity (dBZ), (b) the radar radial velocity corrected for aircraft motion (this is the hydrometeor vertical velocity, m s$^{-1}$, downward is positive), (c) air vertical velocity (m s$^{-1}$), and (d) coincident AMPR microwave brightness temperatures at 10, 19, 37, and 85 GHz. The latter are shown by lines in red, purple, green, and yellow, respectively. On the rain-type classification bar shown between (b) and (c): stratiform-certain rain (red), stratiform-probable rain (orange), convective rain (green), inconclusive rain (blue), and virga (purple; see Fig. 2 in Part I for definitions). Black means no rain. The white line under this bar indicates locations of warm rain, which can be convective or inconclusive.

which is the sea level in all cases (except for some transects across the mountainous island of Hispaniola on 22 September 1998; Geerts et al. 2000). In other words, even more uniformity is evident if the height is plotted relative to the BB (Fig. 6 in Part I).

In convective profiles, the rate of decay of reflectivity above the freezing level is, on average, only slightly smaller (Fig. 3), yet it is more variable than for stratiform profiles (Fig. 2). The latter is consistent with the higher variability of radial velocity. Convective echo tops are generally at the same height as stratiform ones, only on a few occasions they are higher. At low levels, many convective profiles have a lower reflectivity than typical stratiform values. The average reflectivity of hurricane convection between 1- and 4-km altitude is only 32.2 dBZ, which is merely 1.5 dBZ higher than that of stratiform profiles (Fig. 3). This contrasts with MCSs, in which the convective/stratiform distinction typically is much larger. The hurricane convective reflectivity FAD shows a clear change in slope at the freezing level (Fig. 2), and its radial velocity gradient around the freezing level is rather large (Fig. 3). This suggests that the hurricane convective profiles are close to the melting-layer signature of stratiform precipitation.

The reflectivity FAD also shows that convective echoes become more frequent toward the ground below the freezing level, unlike the stratiform echoes. This is due to warm rain. The 8% of the hurricane profiles that is
Fig. 2. Frequency-by-altitude display of the nadir reflectivity, radial velocity, and vertical air motion \( (\text{m s}^{-1}) \) for (top) stratiform and (bottom) convective rain, based on all hurricane surface rain profiles. The height is the altitude above sea level. The units of the frequencies shown are (left panels) \( (3 \text{ dBZ})^{-1} \text{ km}^{-1} \) and (center and right panels) \( (\text{m s}^{-1})^{-1} \text{ km}^{-1} \).

classified as warm rain (Table 1) not only occur in the eye, but also on the suppressed side of asymmetric eyewalls (Fig. 1). This implies that while deep ascent prevails, some regions in hurricanes experience only shallow ascent.

Most of the snow in the hurricanes sample rises at some level, both in convective and in stratiform profiles, and lofting over a depth of at least 3 km occurs in 11% of the profiles. The mean hydrometeor vertical motion (i.e., the negative of the radial velocity in Fig. 2) increases from \(-1.2\) to \(+1.0\) m s\(^{-1}\) between 5 and 11 km for convective and stratiform profiles, which differ little (Fig. 3). While these values are close to the radial velocity uncertainty (section 2c in Part I) in an absolute sense, the vertical trend is accurate. Because the fall speed of snow at 5 km is close to 1.2 m s\(^{-1}\), updrafts must prevail above the freezing level in hurricanes. This is apparent in the FADs of vertical air motion (Fig. 2, rightmost plots). Ascent tends to occur at low levels as well, increasing to 2.6 m s\(^{-1}\) on average at 11 km. In short, widespread uplift is found at all levels in hurricanes, and the rate of ascent differs little between stratiform and convective profiles (Fig. 3). The vertical air motion in convective profiles is more variable, but again ascent occurs at all levels, peaking at an average value of 2.9 m s\(^{-1}\) between 9- and 12-km altitude.

The 85-GHz \( T_b \) over hurricanes is generally high compared to midlatitude MCSs (Mohr and Zipser 1996), consistent with the rapid decay of reflectivity with height above the BB. As expected, it tends to decrease
Table 1. (a) The number of profiles with precipitation not reaching the ground (virga), and (b) the number of warm rain profiles, for three regions. The numbers are also expressed as a percentage of all rain profiles listed in Part I, Table 1 for each region. The fraction of warm rain profiles that is classified as convective is shown as well, the remaining profiles are inconclusive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Total No.</th>
<th>Virga fraction</th>
<th>Warm rain fraction</th>
<th>Convective warm rain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>7461</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurricane</td>
<td>13,809</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>4,976</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Mean profiles for all (left) convective and (right) stratiform certain in the three campaigns. The sample size decreases with height mainly between 10 and 15 km; therefore, the upper-level values are less representative.

with increasing echo-top height and increasing 7-km reflectivity Fig. 4). This relationship is slightly stronger for hurricane convection than for stratiform profiles. The 85-GHz $T_b$ also tends to be lower when the maximum reflectivity is higher (Fig. 4), but especially in stratiform profiles this relationship is weak, possibly because of abundant supercooled water.

Surprisingly, the relationship between 85-GHz $T_b$ and 7-km reflectivity is not linear, for both convective and stratiform regions. The 85-GHz $T_b$ deficit seems unaffected by 7-km reflectivity if the latter remains under 10–15 dBZ. It is not clear why this is the case, but at least this is below the 18-dBZ sensitivity threshold of the TRMM PR. However, even at higher 7-km reflectivity values, the scatter is quite uniform in terms of reflectivity and velocity profiles. The rather poor relationship between reflectivity-based storm intensity and 85-GHz $T_b$, as revealed by high-resolution data, suggests that the 85-GHz $T_b$ is quite sensitive to cloud microphysical aspects that are not captured by radar reflectivity, such as the crystal habit or amount of supercooled water.

b. Convection-generated cumulonimbus

Cumulonimbus cells or clusters of cells were sampled in various stages of their life cycle in central Florida and the southwestern Amazon.

1) Central Florida

Summertime convection in central Florida generally breaks out along shallow boundaries and is short lived (e.g., Kingsmill 1995). The convection is quite intense and strongly modulated by daytime heating over land. Low-level ambient wind shear is generally weak; hence, long-lived mesoscale organization is quite rare. Many storms in the Florida dataset were overflown in their mature to dissipating stages, but some vigorously developing storms were captured as well. In one case the ER-2 traversed a sequence of storm cells triggered by the convergence of two shallow boundaries (Fig. 5). The point of boundary collapse (the “zipper”) moved from north to south, and a north–south flight leg documented a series of age-ordered cells, with the youngest one to the south (right). Between the collapsing and vigorously growing convection, a small region of upper-level mesoscale upward motion can be seen; however, this region does not produce stratiform precipitation at this time.

Tropical disturbances, bringing more stratiform widespread precipitation, may also occur over central Florida in summer, but the 2183 km of flight tracks that constitute the Florida dataset only include small, short-
FIG. 4. Scatterplots of the 0-dBZ echo-top height (km), reflectivity at a height of 7 km, and maximum reflectivity in the profile, against 85-GHz brightness temperature, for all (left) convective and (right) stratiform surface rain profiles in the hurricane sample. The linear correlation coefficient $r$ is listed in each plot.

lived, surface-triggered afternoon thunderstorms, except for one more long-lived, larger, mostly stratiform system with embedded convection (on 5 September 1998).

Convective precipitation dominates over central Florida (Table 2 in Part I), but about half of all rain profiles contains only virga (Table 1). This suggests that convective cells have a lifetime that is short compared to that of the anvils that they generate, and this in turn is probably related to the intensity of Florida thunderstorms—more vigorous storms can inject more ice into the upper troposphere. A sample transect (Fig. 6) shows two vigorous storm cells. (This transect does not show any large anvil or BB regions.) The left storm cell tops at an altitude of 14.8 km and has a minimum 85-GHz $T_b$ of 117 K, consistent with a deep layer of frozen hydrometeors. This cell, about 15 km wide, has no less than six updrafts peaking at over 5 m s$^{-1}$, interspersed between downdrafts. The strongest updraft continues into the overshooting top seen in the reflectivity field. Clearly, the hydrometeor vertical motion is quite variable, and several elevated reflectivity maxima exist. The fine structure and the lack of vertical continuity of these updrafts are remarkable. Clearly the retrieval of vertical air motion from scanning ground-based dual-Doppler data cannot be easy, whichever boundary condition is used (Chong and Testud 1983).

We now examine the composite of Florida precipitation systems sampled during the Texas and Florida Underflights (TEFLUN-B) field experiment. This sample size is the smallest, so some caution is warranted regarding statistical significance. The contrast between Florida cumulonimbus and the hurricane sample is stark; the stratiform area fraction (along the ER-2 flight tracks) is much smaller, more profiles are classified “stratiform probable” than “stratiform certain” (Table 2 in Part I), and the stratiform profiles display more variability (Fig.
Fig. 5. As Fig. 1, but for 15 Aug 1998 in central Florida. The transect is from (left) north to (right) south.
Fig. 6. As Fig. 1, but showing deep convection in central Florida at 1744–1755 UTC 8 Aug 1998. The intermittent line at ~14 km is the range-folded triple-reflected echo of the ER-2 aircraft.

7). This profile suggests that most of these stratiform regions are the remnants of decaying storm cells, for two reasons. First, the reflectivity profile is highly variable, suggesting that the EDOP sample covers a range of phases in the convective-to-stratiform transition. And second, the reflectivity decay with height above the BB is small—the mean reflectivity of the stratiform Florida profiles decays at ~2.7 dB km\(^{-1}\) between 5- and 13-km altitude (Fig. 3). Such decay of reflectivity, which matches that observed in a stratiform region of an MCS in Kansas (Houze 1997, his Fig. 11c) is less even than that for the convective hurricane profiles (Fig. 2). This suggests that large particles have been carried aloft; in other words, the profile may reflect a history of stronger updrafts. Such updrafts are associated either with local decaying convection or with neighboring active convection (Houze 1997, his Fig. 1). In fact, the upper-level ascent in these stratiform regions peaks at 2 m s\(^{-1}\) or more in some 40% of the profiles (Fig. 7, upper-right panel; Table 4 in Part I). The reflectivity below the BB generally decreases toward the ground (Fig. 3), consistent with the prevailing low-level subsidence (Fig. 7, upper right), and the frequent occurrence of virga (Table 1).

A majority of Florida stratiform profiles is associated with sinking air below the freezing level, on average ~1.0 m s\(^{-1}\) between 1 and 2 km, and rising air above the freezing level, on average 1.5 m s\(^{-1}\) between 9 and 11 km (Fig. 7). Such profile of vertical air velocity, characteristic of the stratiform region trailing behind long-lived squall lines (Houze 1993, p. 373), is encountered also in the Brazil sample. This suggests that the vertical velocity profile, which sustains well-organized stratiform regions of MCSs, may also be present in convectively generated stratiform precipitation with smaller space and time scales. Clearly this vertical velocity profile is not sufficiently long lived to produce large stratiform regions; the decay of convection is rath-
er rapid, and in the end only anvils remain whose hy-
drometeors do not reach the ground (Table 1).

The convective–stratiform separation is quite evident
in central Florida. Thunderstorms were sampled over a
remarkable range of intensities and life cycle stages, as
is evident in the convective FADs for reflectivity and
radial velocity (Fig. 7). A clear difference between
the two rain types lies in the spread of vertical motions
(Table 4 in Part I) around the mean. This is consistent
with the dynamical interpretation that convective pre-
cipitation is buoyancy driven.

Convective regions in Florida are unambiguously
convective, for two reasons. Reflectivity distribution
is quite broad in the convective region in Florida (Fig. 7).
This is consistent with the large range in vertical mo-
tions there. Second, the average reflectivity in Florida
convection decreases steadily with height from the
ground to echo top (Fig. 3), without kink at the melting
layer.

The convective reflectivity FAD is bimodal near and
above the freezing level (Fig. 7). Such distribution was
observed also in deep convection that developed as Hur-
rricane Georges was centered over Hispaniola (Geerts et
al. 2000), and the bimodality was interpreted as a com-
bination of active convective cores (high reflectivity
and strong updrafts) and ambient convective residue (lower
reflectivity and weaker vertical drafts). The EDOP Flor-
ida dataset confirms this interpretation; at altitudes be-
tween 4 and 12 km, reflectivity and updraft correlate
positively ($r = +0.23$) for convective profiles, but neg-
atively ($r = -0.58$) for stratiform profiles.

A large range of storm intensities and depths was

Fig. 7. As Fig. 2, but for all surface rain profiles over central Florida.
sampled in Florida, therefore, it is not surprising that the 85-GHz $T_b$ is quite variable as well. The median 85-GHz $T_b$ for convective profiles is 208 K, which is 21 K lower than for convective profiles in the hurricane sample. Notwithstanding the large range in 85-GHz $T_b$ values, its correlations with storm intensity parameters are rather weak (Fig. 8). The correlations are better for convective profiles, and the 85-GHz $T_b$ correlates best with the 7-km reflectivity, and less strongly with echo top and maximum reflectivity. Most convective regions peak near 14 km in Florida, yet any amount of 85-GHz radiation can be welling up from these regions; this is consistent with the wide range of reflectivities (and ice concentrations) below these regions. Inspection of the scatterplots in Fig. 8 reveals that the good correlations are due more to the wide range of observations, rather than to a clear alignment along a regression line. In contrast, the hurricane data are more clustered and shifted to higher 85-GHz $T_b$ values (Fig. 4). This suggests that the convective profiles sampled in Florida have highly variable concentrations of frozen hydrometeors and supercooled water. The stratiform profiles in Florida are too few and diverse to generate reliable correlations with the 85-GHz $T_b$.

2) Precipitation in Rondonia, Brazil

A range of precipitating system sizes occurred in Rondonia, Brazil, during the TRMM component of the Brazilian Large-Scale Biosphere–Atmosphere experiment (LBA). The experimental objective was to steer the ER-2 over the larger, longer-lived precipitation systems; but, partly because the ER-2 sampled only during a narrow part of the diurnal window, none of the many large MCSs that were observed mainly in the evening by ground-based radar over Rondonia in January–February 1999 were sampled. One of the larger systems observed by the ER-2 was shown in Part I (their Fig. 3). The 85-
GHz $T_b$ is depressed to about 190 K above the storm, but it is hardly affected by the ice in the anvil region spreading to left ($0 < x < 20$ km, Fig. 4).

Statistical characterization of Brazil precipitation is summarized in Fig. 9. The stratiform reflectivity profiles indicate high variability, comparable to the Florida (Fig. 7) profiles, but the echo tops are generally lower, and the echoes are generally weaker, especially at upper levels (Fig. 3). The reflectivity lapse rate above the BB is larger than in Florida (Fig. 7). There is a broad range of echo strengths above the BB, but a distinct population of stratiform profiles in Brazil has a rather uniform and strong echo (Fig. 9, upper left). These profiles correspond to the stratiform regions of some small MCSs. Below the BB, the reflectivity distribution is bimodal. The stronger profiles (~23 dBZ) have reflectivities below that of the BB, but clearly above that of weaker stratiform regions. The secondary maximum of weaker profiles (~18 dBZ) vanishes toward the ground. This bimodality is observed also in Florida. The explanation is not clear.

The lower reflectivity in Brazil convection (Fig. 9, lower left), compared to that in the two other regions, confirms that mostly weak systems were sampled. The variability of convective reflectivities and radial velocities, the radial velocity gradient, and the change in slope of the reflectivity profile near the melting layer all suggest that convective regions in Brazil are less robustly convective than in Florida. The rather large fraction of warm rain profiles (Table 1) may explain the increased frequency of echoes below the freezing level in convective profiles (Fig. 9, lower left). Convection in the Brazil dataset has a rather complex vertical air velocity profile, on average, with two peaks in ascent rate, one
near 3-km altitude and one at upper levels. Vertical velocity variations between Florida and hurricane convection tend to follow the same trend (Fig. 3). The prevailing ascent between 1.5 and 4.5 km in the convective regions contrasts against the prevailing subsidence in that layer in stratiform regions (Fig. 3). The reason for the kink near 5 km may be questioned because the hydrometeor fall speeds are least certain at these altitudes in convection. Possibly there is more graupel at these levels then assumed (see the appendix in Part I).

Two modes of large-scale circulation occur in the southern Amazon region, yielding distinctly different precipitation systems (Petersen et al. 2002; Halverson et al. 2002). Convective intensity, lightning activity, rainfall rates, and 85-GHz $T_{b}$ depression all tend to be larger during periods of low-level easterly wind, while they are lower during 850-mb westerly wind regimes. We divided the flight days in Brazil according to the day's flow regime, according to Petersen et al. (2002). Indeed, our rather small sample confirms that the mean reflectivity was higher, and storms deeper, on the days with easterly flow (Fig. 10). The difference is most pronounced for the convective profiles. The stratiform area fraction is also higher during westerly regimes (57%) than during easterly regimes (48%).

The correlations between the 85-GHz $T_{b}$ and integrated ice-scattering parameters are broadly similar to those for the Florida samples (Fig. 11). The “best” parameter, the 7-km reflectivity, correlates better with the 85-GHz $T_{b}$ in Brazil than in Florida. Again, the correlations tend to be better for convective profiles. One exception is the maximum reflectivity in convective profiles, which is basically unrelated to 85-GHz $T_{b}$.

In summary, the Amazonian precipitation profiles are relatively weak; compared to those in Florida, the convective area fraction, echo-top height, and variability of echoes and vertical motions are all lower. During westerly low-level flow regimes they tend to be weaker and more commonly stratiform than during easterly regimes.

c. Warm rain

The rain-type classification introduced in Part I distinguishes profiles with “warm” rain, defined as rain falling from an ice-free cloud. Combined infrared and passive microwave data (Petty 1999) and TRMM PR data (Berg et al. 2002; Schumacher and Houze 2003b) suggest that a significant fraction of the tropical rain results from shallow systems, especially over the oceans peripheral of the intertropical convergence zone, although it is not known how significant warm rain really is. Johnson et al. (1999) suggest that aside from deep convection and shallow cumuli, a third mode of convection prevails in the Tropics, namely, cumulus congestus, with tops at about 5 km. Petty (1999) indicates that 20%–40% of the surface rain reports coincide with minimum satellite infrared temperatures of 273 K or warmer in much of the Tropics. Using wind profiler reflectivity profiles and coincident rain gauge data, Tokay et al. (1999) find that 7% of the rainfall on an atoll in the equatorial west Pacific region results from warm clouds. The detection of warm rain based on TRMM reflectivity profiles is conservative—the TRMM 2A23 algorithm considers warm rain to be certain when the top of the minimum detectable echo is at least 2000 m below the climatological freezing level (NASA 1999). This definition, which will miss many true warm rain events, is motivated in part by the poor sensitivity of the PR, about 18 dBZ, which implies that the radar cannot see the cloud tops.

A warm rain profile has a 0-dBZ echo top below the freezing level Fig. 2 in Part I). The freezing level is deduced from a proximity sounding. In addition to the operational sondes, many extra sondes were released during all three campaigns. An additional condition for warm rain is that if an anvil is present aloft, then it needs to be separated from the shallow echo by a layer at least 2 km deep with reflectivities less than 0 dBZ. This condition intends to exclude cases where the collision–coalescence process is jump started by the introduction of large droplets from aloft. Such a situation occurs on the northern (right) eyewall in the transect across Hurricane Bonnie (shown in Fig. 1)—shallow rain cells are covered by a large anvil, which generally remains clearly separated from the cells. Clearly, a satellite IR-based rainfall estimation technique could not reveal the warm rain under the anvil, but it may be revealed from signature of microwave temperatures, especially the increase in 10-GHz $T_{b}$ over the warm rain region.

The TRMM PR classifies most isolated, shallow echoes as stratiform; however, because they mostly result from warm cumuli, most of them probably should be classified as convective (Schumacher and Houze...
Our classification only defines deep stratiform rain, that is, it contains a BB. By definition warm rain can only be convective or inconclusive. The definition of stratiform precipitation excludes shallow rain systems that are not convective (i.e., driven by buoyancy). Non-convective warm rain may be rather common in some tropical regions (i.e., where marine stratus is lifted over terrain), but this was not sampled by the ER-2 in any of the three regions.

An example of a flight leg over a series of warm rain cells is shown in Fig. 12. Note that not all of these convective cells are counted as warm rain. The cell near $x = 10$ km, for instance, extends well above the freezing level, and the 85-GHz $T_b$ depression suggests that ice is present in the upper parts of this cell. The cell near $x = 33$ km, however, is classified as warm rain, even though some light snow appears to fall from upper-level clouds. This upper-level echo is at least 2 km above the warm rain cell. Visually, these cells probably appear as cumuli congesti.

Shallow precipitating systems were not targeted in the three ER-2 campaigns used in this study. Nevertheless they were traversed rather frequently, mostly in hurricanes and in Brazil, but rarely in Florida (Table 1). The fraction of surface rain profiles that are warm rain, 4%–8% in the three regions studies, matches the 6%–7% warm rain fraction observed over the western equatorial Pacific [Table 2 in Williams et al. (1995), and Table 2 in Tokay et al. (1999)]. The latter two references refer to the fraction of rain from shallow systems relative to the total rainfall, whereas the percentages in our Table 1 merely refer to a number of occurrences; however, the average rain rate from these shallow systems (3.4 mm h$^{-1}$) is not much less than the mean rain rate from all storms (4.2 mm h$^{-1}$; Tokay et al. 1999), and so the comparison is valid.

The EDOP warm rain profiles are summarized by means of FADs of nadir beam reflectivity, radial velocity, and derived vertical air motion (Fig. 13). While a broad range of hydrometeor and air vertical motions...
exists in warm rain, the median vertical air motion is slightly positive at all levels, averaging 0.9 m s\(^{-1}\), unlike that in stratiform profiles in Florida and Brazil (Figs. 7 and 9). Comparing the warm rain FAD (Fig. 13) with the FAD for all convective profiles (Fig. 9), both in Brazil, it is clear that the air rises more in the former, when compared with the latter. This is consistent with the observation that the reflectivity in warm rain profiles generally increases toward the ground down to about 500 m above ground level (Fig. 13). The downward increase of reflectivity in warm rain, from near the cloud top to low levels, has been observed elsewhere (Williams et al. 1995; Tokay et al. 1999) and contrasts with the profiles of deep precipitation systems, for which reflectivity is rather constant below the freezing level (Figs. 7 and 9). Also, in contrast with deep precipitation systems the reflectivity is generally low, even at low levels; the average value for the Brazil profiles (Fig. 13) at 500 m above ground is only 16.5 dBZ, implying that the TRMM PR, with a sensitivity threshold of 18 dBZ, would fail to capture the majority of these warm rain events. Because most warm rain echoes are rather weak, about one-half of them are classified as inconclusive (Table 1), based on the profile maximum reflectivity value (Part I). This distinction is arbitrary, and even the weaker warm rain echoes generally appear convective in horizontal structure (Fig. 12).

The prevailing increase in reflectivity and in radial velocity toward the ground, in the case of warm rain (Fig. 13), suggests that raindrops rapidly grow from top to base in shallow systems, which implies an active collision–coalescence process.

4. Discussion

Studies based mainly on TRMM data suggest that significant differences exist in the typical characteristics of convection-generated systems in Florida and Brazil.
These systems tend to be more vigorous over Florida than over the Amazon basin (Petersen and Rutledge 2001). Hurricanes tend to have rather low peak reflectivities and large stratiform regions (Marks 1985; Marks and Houze 1987; Houze et al. 1992).

The airborne dataset used here is small compared to TRMM-based climatologies—too small and selective to attribute much value to the details of the probability density functions of tropical precipitation characteristics. However, Table 2 in Part I confirms that the convective area fraction in Brazil is slightly lower than that in Florida. And the peak reflectivities, the echo tops, and the 7-km reflectivities are highest in Florida (Figs. 2 and 7). Convection is more remarkable in Brazil than in Florida, and the high reflectivities aloft in some of the Florida convection are a testimony of vigorous updrafts.

On the other hand, hurricanes tend to be largely stratiform (Part I). In stratiform regions in hurricanes, compared to those in convection-generated storms in Florida and Brazil, (a) reflectivity decays more rapidly with height above the BB; (b) raindrops continue to grow as they descend; and (c) the mean reflectivity is lower at all levels, at least in comparison with the Florida sample. These three observations confirm a significant difference in stratiform precipitation characteristics observed previously—the convection-generated stratiform regions carry the imprint of strong but transient updrafts, which lift large amounts of ice to upper levels, while those in hurricanes are more uniformly and clearly stratiform, which is consistent with the balanced nature of the hurricane circulation.

These relationships confirm that the relatively small sample used here is representative. This study further complements the TRMM-based climatologies, through the combination of vertical velocity information and passive microwave signatures with high-resolution reflectivity profiles, stratified by rain types. We now further analyze our findings in the context of published findings.

Hurricanes tend to have a higher 85-GHz brightness temperature than convective systems over land (Cecil and Zipser 1999, 2002; Cecil et al. 2002). Mohr and Zipser (1996) find that 69% of the MCSs over tropical South America have a minimum 85-GHz $T_b$ of 200 K or less while that fraction is 66% over North America (a region including but much larger than Florida). These estimates are based on data from the Special Sensor Microwave Imager (SSM/I), whose resolution is about 15 km. Over hurricanes a minimum 85-GHz $T_b$ of 200 K or less is rare (Cecil and Zipser 2002).

Only 4.7% of the hurricane surface rain profiles in this study have a 85-GHz $T_b$ of 200 K or less, as compared with 12% for Brazil and 26% for Florida. Stratiform surface rain profiles tend to have a higher 85-GHz $T_b$ compared to convection. Only 3.6% (7.8%) of the hurricane certainly stratiform (convective) surface rain profiles have a 85-GHz $T_b$ of 200 K or less. For Brazil, these percentages are 8.3% (14%), and for Florida 6.0% (35%) for certainly stratiform (convective) profiles. A lower-85-GHz $T_b$ implies a higher ice content. The rather low fraction of convective profiles with 85-GHz $T_b$ of 200 K or less in Brazil is consistent with the relatively weak convection encountered there (section 3b).

TRMM observations indicate that convection-generated systems in the Amazon basin have more “maritime” characteristics, while those over Florida are clearly continental (Nesbitt et al. 2000; Petersen and Rutledge 2001; Toracinta et al. 2002). The maritime character of convection-generated storms can be expressed most clearly in terms of their lightning activity, which is low over the oceans (Orville and Henderson...
updrafts of at least 4 m s\(^{-1}\) are more common over Florida than over Rondonia. An
in storms over land (Jorgensen and LeMone 1989). One-half as strong as the same top percentile of updrafts
The strongest updrafts in oceanic storms are less than
are indeed rare in convection-generated storms over the
aircraft observations have shown that strong updrafts
believed to be rare because the updrafts are too weak
to loft sufficient amounts of graupel into the mixed-
phases of the cloud (Williams et al. 1992). In situ
proves strong enough to loft hydrometeors at 4 m s\(^{-1}\) or more, and all of these are above the
the freezing level (Fig. 7, Table 4 in Part I). That figure is
3\% in Brazil (Fig. 9). Two cautionary remarks are
warranted here. First, these percentages are affected by a
\(\sim 2 \text{ m s}^{-1}\) error bracket due to uncertainties in the cor-
rection for aircraft motion and the estimation of terminal
velocity \(V_T\) (Part I). And second, the differences in ex-
treme updraft frequency in the two regions may be af-
fected by differences in \(Z-V\) relationships. In short, the
EDOP/AMPR observations in Brazil and Florida are
broadly consistent with recent satellite-based studies of
tropical precipitation systems, and the EDOP Doppler
velocity profiles confirm that strong updrafts are most
common in central Florida.

5. Summary

Measurements of vertical incidence radar reflectivity
and radial velocity, as well as coincident upwelling mi-
crowave radiances, are analyzed for 21 231 km of flight
tracks of the high-altitude ER-2 aircraft over tropical
precipitation systems. The systems sampled were pref-
erentially deep, long-lived, large, and occurred in the
afternoon. Shallow precipitation systems were encoun-
tered even though they were not targeted. The tendency
for warm rain reflectivity and radial velocity to increase
toward the ground suggests that raindrops rapidly grow
from top to base in shallow systems.

The classification introduced in Part I is used to con-
trast convective hydrometeor profiles against stratiform
ones. The data are divided in tropical cyclones or de-
pressions over the Atlantic (“hurricane”), and convec-
tion-generated storms in central Florida (“Florida”) and
in the state of Rondonia in the southwestern Amazon
basin (“Brazil”). While the sample is too small and
selective to represent a climatology of tropical precip-
itation systems, the dataset is complementary to TRMM
measurements mainly because of EDOP’s superior ver-
tical resolution and sensitivity, and because EDOP
yields vertical velocity estimates. The EDOP-based pro-iles of reflectivity, hydrometeor settling speeds, and
vertical air motion, and their relationship with the co-
incident AMPR 85-GHz brightness temperature \(T_b\),
yield insights that confirm and extend TRMM-based
characterizations of precipitation systems in these re-

dions. Key conclusions are as follows:

- Rain-type regions in hurricanes are significantly dif-
ferent from those in convection-generated storms. Hurricanes are largely stratiform—their reflectivity
and vertical velocity profiles are quite uniform. Their reflectivity decays rapidly with height above the bright
band, and below the bright band it increases slightly
toward the ocean surface. Convective regions in hur-
nicanes are not fundamentally different from stratiform
regions; the reflectivity and vertical velocity vari-
ability is rather small and the reflectivity profile shows
a clear kink at the melting level. Hurricanes have a
relatively high 85-GHz \(T_b\), a relatively high fraction
of warm rain profiles, and generally experience asc-
ending air motion at all levels, even in stratiform
regions.

- Convective regions are about as common as stratiform
regions in Florida storms. Convection can be vigorous,
yielding high reflectivity values aloft, and very low
85-GHz \(T_b\) values. Florida stratiform regions are rel-
atively small and highly variable in terms of vertical
velocity and echo strength. This suggests that they
carry the imprint of the convection that generated
them, and that they are short lived. They tend to ex-
perience ascent above the BB and subsidence below.
They are characterized by higher reflectivities at upper
levels and a lower 85-GHz \(T_b\), compared to stratiform
regions in Brazil. The Brazil sample is more maritime
than the Florida sample, in terms of convective area
fraction, echo-top height, and variability of echoes and
vertical motions. The storms sampled during westerly
low-level wind regimes in Brazil were generally
weaker and the stratiform area fraction was larger.

- Last, we found that in both hurricanes and convection-
generated storms a higher reflectivity at 7 km and a
higher echo top, well above the freezing level, gen-
ernally imply a lower 85-GHz \(T_b\). These correlations
are stronger for convective than for stratiform regions.
The 85-GHz \(T_b\) correlates more poorly with the profile
maximum reflectivity.
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